Cosmological solutions and finite time singularities in Finslerian geometry

Nupur Paul,[1,*] S. S. De,[2,†] and Farook Rahaman[1,‡]

[1]*Department of Mathematics, Jadavpur University, Kolkata − 700032, West Bengal, India*
[2]*Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Calcutta, Kolkata − 700009, India*

We consider a very general scenario of our universe where its geometry is characterized by the Finslerian structure on the underlying spacetime manifold, a generalization of the Riemannian geometry. Now considering a general energy-momentum tensor for matter sector, we derive the gravitational field equations in such spacetime. Further, to depict the cosmological dynamics in such spacetime proposing an interesting equation of state identified by a sole parameter γ which for isotropic limit is simply the barotropic equation of state $p = (\gamma - 1)\rho$ ($\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ being the barotropic index), we solve the background dynamics. The dynamics offers several possibilities depending on this sole parameter as follows − (i) only an exponential expansion, or (ii) a finite time past singualrity (big bang) with late accelerating phase, or (iii) a nonsingular universe exhibiting an accelerating scenario at late time which finally predicts a big rip type singularity. We also discuss several energy conditions and the possibility of cosmic bounce. Finally, we establish the first law of thermodynamics in such spacetime.

PACS numbers: 98.80.+k; 95.36.+x
Keywords: Finsler geometry; Singularities; Current acceleration

arXiv:1704.03339v2 [gr-qc] 13 Apr 2017

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

To understand the current accelerating expansion history of the universe, mainly two different approaches are considered. The first one is to introduce some dark energy fluid in the framework of general relativity, while the later introduces some modifications into the gravitational sector. Now, concering the dark energy concept, observations favor the existence of ΛCDM cosmology where Λ is the cosmological constant and it is considered to be responsible for this accelerated expansion. However, this cosmology has one serious issue known as cosmological constant problem which inspired several authors to consider some time varying dark energy fluid in the name of quintessence, phantom, Chaplygin gas and so on, although it should me mentioned that they introduced some other issues, for instance the cosmic coincidence problem and so on. Probably, both the cosmological constant as well as the time varying dark energy models inspired cosmologists to introduce the modifications into the gravitational sector which finally appeared with a large number of gravitational modifications, such as $f(R)$, $f(T)$, etc. However, the basic problem in all such modified gravity theories is to first fix a functional form of $f(R)$ and $f(T)$ and then we study their viability with the theoretical bounds and finally with the observational data[1]. That means essentially in these two formalisms, we start with some phenomelogical grounds. Thus, no one can exclude the possibility of a new dark energy fluid or some new modified gravity theory, or even some new direction of research which may positively account of the current astrophysical and cosmological issues.

In this article we have discussed the evolution of the universe in the context of Finslerian geometry which is a generalization of the traditional Riemannian geometry [1, 2][2] . The basic concept in such theories is to consider the violation of Lorentz symmetry which is one of the common features in the quantum gravitational regime and it may introduce some new directions in the modern cosmological reasearch. The metric in this space is defined by a norm $F(x, y)$ (where $y \in T_xM$ is the tangent vector on a spacetime point x) on a tangent bundle of the spacetime instead of an usual inner product structure on the corresponding spacetime, for a detailed description we refer [3, 4]. It has been found that during last couple of years a considerable attention has been paid on this extended geometry to address some issues related to astrophysics and cosmology [5–10, 12–15], specifically, it has been argued that the dark matter and the dark energy problem can be addressed in such a context [6, 7, 10]. So, essentially, the cosmology in this space-time will be worth exploring for new physical results.

*Electronic address: nnupurpaul@gmail.com
†Electronic address: ssddadai08@rediffmail.com
‡Electronic address: rahaman@associates.iucaa.in

[1] Exactly what we do while dealing with several dark energy fluids.
[2] We remark that this geometry was in fact suggested by Riemann himself in his book [20]

A Finslerian structure on a smooth 4−dimensional manifold M is defined on the tangent bundle TM of M by Finsler metric $F = \tilde{TM} = TM - \{0\}$ and F is smooth on $\tilde{TM}$. In addition F is positively homogeneous of degree one with respect to (y^a), i.e. $F(x, ky) = kF(x, y)$, $k > 0$. The Finslerian metric tensor g_{ij} is given by

$$g_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 F^2(x,y)}{\partial y^i \partial y^j} \tag{1}$$

defined on $\tilde{TM}$. The causality in Finsler spacetime can be given by the metric function F. A positive, zero or negative value of F corresponds to timelike, null or space-like curves. In many cases it is useful that a Lorentz signature to be taken under consideration. A non-linear connection N on TM is a distribution on TM, supplementary to the vertical distribution V on TM as

$$T_{(x,y)}(TM) = N_{(x,y)} \oplus V_{(x,y)}. \tag{2}$$

A non-linear connection can be defined as

$$N^a_j = \frac{\partial G^a}{\partial y^j}, \tag{3}$$

where G^a is given by

$$G^a = \frac{1}{4} g^{aj}\left(\frac{\partial^2 F^2}{\partial y^j \partial y^k} y^k - \partial_j F^2\right). \tag{4}$$

The geodesic equation for the finsler space follows from the Euler-Lagrange equations

$$\frac{d}{ds}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial y^a}\right) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^a} = 0, \tag{5}$$

and it has the form

$$\frac{dy^a}{ds} + 2G^a(x, y) = 0. \tag{6}$$

A complete description of Finslerian structure of spacetime manifold M is usually given with a metric function F, a nonlinear connection N and compatible (metrical) connections in the framework of a tangent bundle TM of spacetime [4]. However in some cases we can restrict our consideration in order to describe the local anisotropic ansantz of gravitational field equations on a base 4−dimensional manifold [5]. In such a case we are able to compare different senarios of Riemannian-type cosmolgical models as FLRW with Finslerian ones, e.g [11].

Thus, being motivated by the new generalized spacetime governed by a Finslerian metric, in the present work we have tried to address the current evolution of the universe. Considring the background matter disctribution to be anisotropic we wrote the gravitational equations in such spacetime. Then we introduce an interesting equation of state which for the isotropic limit simply assumes the barotropic equation of state. We show that under such equation of state, the field equations can be analytically solved which depending on the barotropic index of the fluid exhibits several cosmological issues. We found that depending on the barotropic index of the fluid the cosmological solution can predict a finite time past singularity and currently it can exhibit an accelerating scenario of our universe. On the other hand, the model can offer a singaulrity free universe in the past while it can not escape from a finite time future singularity. Moreover, we also show that the violation of the energy conditions can lead to the cosmic bounce in such geometric structure. Finally, we establish that the first law of thermodynamics can hold under a simple energy conservation relation. Overall, the current picture offers some interesting possibilities.

We have organized the paper as follows: In section 2, we have presented the modified field equations in Finsler space-time. Section 3 deals with a toy model of an equation of state connecting the anisotropic matter distribution and evaluates the cosmological solutions. In 4, we briefly describe the energy conservation relation annd the first law of thermodynamics. Finally, we close our work in section 5 with a brief summary.

2. GRAVITATIONAL EQUATIONS IN FINSLER SPACE-TIME

To explain the dynamics of the universe, one desires to introduce a metric specifying the geometry of the space-time which connects with its matter distribution by the Einstein's gravitational equation. In order to realize the dynamics, we consider that our universe is described by the Finsler metric which is of the form [12]

$$F^2 = y^t y^t - R^2(t) y^r y^r - r^2 R^2(t) \bar{F}^2(\theta, \phi, y^\theta, y^\phi), \tag{7}$$

which has been taken inspired by the known fact that at large scales our universe is well described by the flat Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) line element. We construct a cosmological model by inserting $\bar{F}^2$ as a quadric in y^θ and y^ϕ. Note that the present Finsler space (for the case $\bar{F}^2$ as quadric in y^θ and y^ϕ) can be obtained from a Riemannian manifold $(M, g_{\mu\nu}(x))$ as we have

$$F(x, y) = \sqrt{g_{\mu\nu}(x) y^\mu y^\nu}.$$

One can notice that, this is a semi-definite Finsler space-time and consequently, one can use the covariant derivative of the Riemannian space. It is to be noted that the Bianchi identities overlap with those of the Riemannian space (being the covariant conservation of Einstein tensor). Since the present Finsler space reduces to the Riemannian space, therefore, the gravitational field equations can be obtained readily. The base manifold of the Finsler space regulates the gravitational field equation in Finsler space and the fiber coordinates y^i play the role of the velocities of the cosmic components i.e. velocities in the energy momentum tensor. Hence, one can derive the gravitational field equations in Finsler space from the Einstein gravitational field equation in the Riemannian space-time with the metric (7) in which the metric $\bar{g}_{ij}$ is given by (see Appendix A)

$$\bar{g}_{ij} = \mathrm{diag}(1, \sin^2 \sqrt{\lambda}\, \theta),$$

i.e.

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \mathrm{diag}(1, -R^2(t), -r^2 R^2(t), -r^2 R^2(t) \sin^2 \sqrt{\lambda}\, \theta),$$

where $\lambda > 0$ and it plays a very crucial role in the derived field equations in Finsler spacetime and hence in the background cosmology. The two dimensional Finsler space $\bar{F}$ is specified as a constant flag curvature space, that is it is assume that $\bar{R}\mathrm{ic} = \lambda$. This Ricci scalar "$\bar{R}$ic" is that of the Finsler structure $\bar{F}$ and two dimensional Finslerian structure is specified by the constancy of the flag curvature. This flag curvature of Finsler space is in fact, the generalization of the sectional curvature of Riemannian space. It will be apparent latter that the solution of vacuum field equation must lead to the constancy of the flag curvature with its value $\lambda = 1$. But for more general case of Finsler structure $\bar{F}$, it can be specified by the constant flag curvature having any real value for λ. In fact, for $\lambda = 1$, we can get the usual Friedmann equations of the FLRW universe.

Let us now assume the general energy-momentum tensor for the matter sector as

$$T^\mu_\nu = (\rho + p_t) u^\mu u_\nu - p_t g^\mu_\nu + (p_r - p_t) \eta^\mu \eta_\nu, \tag{8}$$

where $u^\mu u_\mu = -\eta^\mu \eta_\mu = 1$, p_r, p_t are respectively denote the pressures of the anisotropic fluid in the radial and transversal directions. The modified gravitational field equations in Finsler space-time are obtained as (see Appendix B)

$$8\pi_F G\rho = \frac{3\dot{R}^2}{R^2} + \frac{\lambda - 1}{r^2 R^2}, \tag{9}$$

$$8\pi_F G p_r = -\frac{2\ddot{R}}{R} - \frac{\dot{R}^2}{R^2} - \frac{\lambda - 1}{r^2 R^2}, \tag{10}$$

$$8\pi_F G p_t = -\frac{2\ddot{R}}{R} - \frac{\dot{R}^2}{R^2}. \tag{11}$$

Note that, $\lambda = 1$ implies $p_r = p_t$, that means, it helps to recover the gravitational field equations for flat FLRW universe. On the other hand, if we put $p_r = p_t$ in the above field equations, we readily find $\lambda = 1$. Hence, we find that, $\lambda = 1 \Longleftrightarrow$ flat FLRW universe. Moreover, one can easily see that when $t \longrightarrow \infty$, we again find that both the anisotropic pressure components become equal and the usual Friedmann equations in the spatially flat FLRW universe in presence of a perfect fluid with energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu} = (p + \rho) u_\mu u_\nu + p g_{\mu\nu}$ are recovered.

Now, introducing the Hubble parameter $H = \dot{R}/R$, the field equation (9) can be written as (for simplicity, from now we work in the units where $8\pi_F G = 1$)

$$\rho \;=\; 3\left(H^2+\frac{\lambda-1}{3\,r^2\,R^2}\right) \Longleftrightarrow\; \Omega+\Omega_k=1, \tag{12}$$

where $\Omega=\rho/3H^2$ is the density parameter representing the matter sector, and in compared to the Friedmann universe, the quantity $\Omega_k=-\frac{(\lambda-1)}{3(r\,R\,H)^2}$ can be looked as the density parameter for the scalar curvature in the Finslerian geometry. Also, for both the directions, the Raychaudhuri equation can be written as

$$\dot{H} \;=\; -\frac{1}{2}(\rho+p_r), \tag{13}$$

$$\dot{H} \;=\; -\frac{1}{2}(\rho+p_t)+\frac{\lambda-1}{2\,r^2\,R^2}, \tag{14}$$

where again we note that, for $\lambda=1$, it reduces to only one equation.

3. EVOLUTION AND DYNAMICS: A TOY MODEL

In general, the equation of state of this anisotropic fluid takes a general form $f(p_r,\ p_t,\ \rho)=0$. The exact form of the equation of state is not known and hence, it is a challenge for modern cosmology to derive the cosmological evolution correctly. Still, we adopt mainly two possible ways. One is to assume a very simple formulation of the equation of state in order to derive the evolutionary parameters so that we can match them with the observational data, and on the other hand, the reconstruction of any quantity from observed data is of worth exploring. However, in the present work, we adopt the first possibility, and thus, we start with the following equation of state

$$\gamma(p_t-p_r)+p_r=(\gamma-1)\rho \tag{15}$$

where $\gamma\in\mathbb{R}$ is simply a constant. The essence of this equation of state is that for $p_t=p_r=p$ (say), the equation of state in (15) is simply reduced to $p=(\gamma-1)\rho$, representing the barotropic equation of state. Further, we notice that, for $\gamma=0$, equation (15) implies $p_r=-\rho$, and hence $p_t=-3\dot{R}^2/R^2$. We are interested in the cosmological solutions for the above choice of the equation of state. Now, using the field equations (9), (10), (11), we can exactly solve the scale factor R as

$$R=R_0\left[1+\frac{3\,\gamma}{2}H_0(t-t_0)\right]^{\frac{2}{3\,\gamma}},\ (\gamma\neq 0) \tag{16}$$

$$R=R_0\exp\left(H_0(t-t_0)\right),\qquad(\gamma=0) \tag{17}$$

where t_0, H_0 are respectively the present cosmic time and present day value of the Hubble parameter, and it is worth noting that the solutions obtained in Eqns (16), (17) exactly match with the solutions obtained for the isotropic matter distribution in the FLRW geometry with the equation of state $p=(\gamma-1)\rho$. Consequently, the Hubble parameter can be derived as

$$H \;=\; \frac{H_0}{1+\frac{3\gamma}{2}H_0(t-t_0)},\qquad(\gamma\neq 0) \tag{18}$$

$$H \;=\; H_0=\text{Constant},\qquad(\gamma=0) \tag{19}$$

Therefore, it is clear that for $\gamma=0$ we realize an exponential expansion of the universe. On the other hand, we can divide $\gamma\neq 0$ into the following two conditions when $\gamma>0$ and $\gamma<0$.

3.1. The case for $\gamma>0$

In this case, the solutions for the scale factor and the Hubble parameter take the forms as in equations (16) and (18). The solutions offer the following scenario of our universe.

$$\text{At } t_f = t_0 - \frac{2}{3\,H_0\,\gamma}, \quad \lim_{t\to t_f} R(t) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t\to t_f} H(t) = \infty\,, \tag{20}$$

which clearly shows that the universe attains a big bang singularity in the past ($t_f < t_0$). On the other hand, at late time we find that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} R(t) \;=\; \infty, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \lim_{t\to\infty} H(t) = 0. \tag{21}$$

Now, introducing the deceleration parameter $q = -1 - \dot{H}/H^2$, we find that, for this cosmological solution one has $q = -1 + 3\gamma/2$, which represents an accelerating universe (i.e. $q < 0$) for $\gamma < 2/3$. Thus, the model with the equation of state in (15) presents a model of our universe which predicts the big-bang singularity (a finite time singularity, but independent of γ), and describes an accelerating universe for $\gamma < 2/3$.

3.2. The case for $\gamma < 0$

Now, we consider the cosmological solutions for $\gamma < 0$. For a clear image let us consider $\gamma = -\alpha$ (where $\alpha > 0$). We rewrite the scale factor and the Hubble parameter as

$$R \;=\; \frac{R_0}{\left[1 - \frac{3\,\alpha}{2} H_0 (t - t_0)\right]^{\frac{2}{3\alpha}}}, \tag{22}$$

$$H \;=\; \frac{H_0}{1 - \frac{3\,\alpha}{2} H_0 (t - t_0)}. \tag{23}$$

We find that the scale factor can not be zero at any finite cosmic time in the past evolution of the universe, in other words it gives a solution to the nonsingular universe that has been proposed in several contexts in modern cosmology with great interests, see Refs. [20–27], but on the other hand, from the solution of the scale factor in eqn. (22), it is seen that it diverges at some finite cosmic time in future, that means for $t_s = t_0 + \frac{2}{3\alpha H_0}$, $R(t) \longrightarrow \infty$. Thus, we find that this cosmological solution gives a realization of a nonsingular universe in the past which consequently predicts a "big rip" singularity.

Now, we find that we arrive at two different cosmological scenarios, one which starts with big bang but at late time it does not have any singularity, the other has a nonsingular nature in past but at future it has a big rip singularity. Therefore, in the next section we constrain the model parameters for the first cosmological solution (i.e. for $\gamma > 0$).

Let us look at a particular case when both the pressure components satisfy barotropic equations of state, that means, $p_r = \omega_r \rho$, $p_t = \omega_t \rho$, and where we assume that ω_r and ω_t are the constants. Now, it is easy to see that under such conditions, one can exactly solve the energy density using the above two equations, where the explicit form for ρ is

$$\rho = \rho_0 \left(\frac{r^{2(\omega_t - \omega_r)}}{R^{(3+\omega_r+2\omega_t)}} \right) \tag{24}$$

where $\rho_0 > 0$ is an integration constant and it recovers the standard evolution equations in Friedmann cosmology for $\omega_r = \omega_t$. Additionally, the energy conditions in this spacetime can be written in the follwoing way:

WEC: $\rho \geq 0$, and $\rho + p_r \geq 0$, $\rho + p_t \geq 0$

In the Finsler space-time, using the gravitational equations (9), (10), (11), the conditions respectively reduced to the following inequalities as

$$3\left(\frac{\dot{R}^2}{R^2}\right) + \frac{\lambda - 1}{r^2\,R^2} \geq 0, \quad \frac{\ddot{R}}{R} \leq \frac{\dot{R}^2}{R^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\ddot{R}}{R} \leq \frac{\dot{R}^2}{R^2} + \frac{\lambda - 1}{2\,r^2\,R^2}$$

SEC: $\rho + p_r \geq 0$, $\rho + p_r + 2p_t \geq 0$

In this case, the conditions are reduced to

$$\frac{\ddot{R}}{R} \leq \frac{\dot{R}^2}{R^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\ddot{R}}{R} \leq 0$$

NEC: $\rho + p_r \geq 0$, and $\rho + p_t \geq 0$

Here, using the gravitational equations, these conditions respectively reduced to

$$\frac{\ddot{R}}{R} \leq \frac{\dot{R}^2}{R^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\ddot{R}}{R} \leq \frac{\dot{R}^2}{R^2} + \frac{\lambda - 1}{2\, r^2\, R^2}$$

DEC: $\rho \geq 0$, and $-\rho \leq p_r \leq \rho$, $-\rho \leq p_t \leq \rho$

In a similar way, the inequalities respectively reduced to

$$3\left(\frac{\dot{R}^2}{R^2}\right) + \frac{\lambda - 1}{r^2\, R^2} \geq 0,$$

$$-2\left(\frac{\dot{R}^2}{R^2}\right) - \frac{\lambda - 1}{r^2\, R^2} \leq \frac{\ddot{R}}{R} \leq \frac{\dot{R}^2}{R^2},$$

$$\text{and} \quad -2\left(\frac{\dot{R}^2}{R^2}\right) - \frac{\lambda - 1}{r^2\, R^2} \leq \frac{\ddot{R}}{R} \leq \frac{\dot{R}^2}{R^2} + \frac{\lambda - 1}{2\, r^2\, R^2}$$

Clearly the term $\left(\frac{\lambda - 1}{r^2 R^2}\right)$ makes a significant contribution to the energy conditions, where we note that for $\lambda = 1$, the above conditions are simply reduced to the energy conditions as we find for a flat FLRW universe with a perfect fluid distribution.

3.3. Bouncing conditions

In this section we will seek for bouncing conditions in this spacetime. Since the expansion scalar in this spacetime is defined to be $H = \dot{R}/R$, therefore one may recall the bounce condition [19]

$$H(t_b) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{H}(t_b) > 0 \tag{25}$$

where t_b is the time where universe has bounced. Actually, the above conditions can also be written as $\dot{R}(t_b) = 0$ and $\ddot{R}(t_b) > 0$. From the above energy conditions, following the bounce conditions, an immediate solution for the bouncing universe is that $\lambda > 1$.

By using bounce conditions (25) in (9), (10), (11) we get for the energy conditions

- WEC : $\rho + p(r) < 0, \rho + p(t) < 0, \lambda > 1$, so WEC is violated.
- SEC : $\rho + p(r) < 0, \rho + p(r) + 2p(t) < 0$, so SEC is violated.
- NEC and DEC are also violated.

These cases are necessary conditions in order to have a cosmic bounce.

4. ENERGY CONSERVATION RELATIONS

In this section we shall devote our discussions on the energy conservation relation. Let us propose the energy conservation equation as follows

$$d(\rho V) = -PdV - VF_a dr. \tag{26}$$

The additional term in R.H.S. is due to the anisotropic force F_a given by

$$F_a = \frac{2(p_t - p_r)}{r} = \frac{2(\lambda - 1)}{8\pi_F G}\frac{1}{r^3 R^2}, \tag{27}$$

and the pressure P is the average pressure which is given by

$$P = \frac{p_r + p_t + p_t}{3} = \frac{p_r}{3} + \frac{2p_t}{3}. \tag{28}$$

The proposed conservation equation (26) can be written as

$$Vd\rho + \rho dV + PdV + VF_a dr = 0,$$

or, alternatively as

$$d\rho + \rho\frac{dV}{V} + P\frac{dV}{V} + F_a dr = 0,$$

which then turns into

$$\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t}dt + \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial r}dr + (\rho + P)\frac{dV}{V} + F_a dr = 0,$$

which again can be recast as

$$dt\left(\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t} + (\rho + P)\frac{\dot{V}}{V}\right) + dr\left(\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial r} + F_a\right) = 0,$$

which clearly reports the following two separate equations:

$$\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t} + 3H(\rho + P) = 0, \tag{29}$$

$$\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial r} = -F_a. \tag{30}$$

Note that, the first equation is the usual energy conservation equation for the homogeneous and isotropic universe with the effective pressure P. The second equation can be derived from the above field equations (9), (10), (11). That means, the proposed conservation relation (26) is consistent and well motivated with the energy conservation relation in the context of general relativity.

Also, if we define a pressure P as the weighted average of p_t and p_r, i.e. if $P = \gamma p_t - (\gamma - 1)p_r$ (note that the sum of the weight is $\gamma - (\gamma - 1) = 1$) then we see that the present equation of state is the barotropic equation of state $P = (\gamma - 1)\rho$. In equation (28) we have taken P as the simple arithmetic average of the radial and transverse pressure, i.e. the pressure in three orthogonal directions. This case corresponds $\gamma = \frac{2}{3}$. With this, the conservation equation becomes

$$d(\rho V) + PdV + \left(\gamma - \frac{2}{3}\right)(p_r - p_t)dV + \tilde{F}dr = 0 \tag{31}$$

where, $\tilde{F} = VF_a$ is an anisotropic force. In fact, the equation (31) can be written as

$$dU + dW = dQ = 0, \tag{32}$$

where $U = \rho V$ is the internal energy, W is the work done and Q is the heat transfer and using these terminology, eqn. (31) is nothing but the following equation

$$dW = PdV + \left(\gamma - \frac{2}{3}\right)(p_r - p_t)dV + \tilde{F}dr. \tag{33}$$

The first two terms in the right hand side being the change of work from pressures and the third being that due to the anisotropic force. This equation represents the first law of thermodynamics for the case of adiabatic heat transfer.

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this work we considered the spacetime of our universe described by the Finsler geometry, a generalization of the Riemannian geometry [1, 2]. A number of studies [5–10, 12–15] in this framework have been perforemd in order to offer some explanations on some recent astrophysical and cosmological evdiences. Now, considering a general matter distribution which by construction stands for an anisotropic matter distribution, we rewrote the modified gravitational field equations. We solved the dynamics of the universe for a simple equation of state of the matter sector (see eq. (15)) characterized by a sole paratemeter γ and which is motivated from the fact that in case of a perfect fluid matter distribution the barotropic equation of state, is recovered. Since γ has been kept free for our analysis, so depending on it, we found three distinct cosmological scenarions with current interests:

- For $\gamma = 0$, we realize an exponential expansion of the universe. But, we do not have any such other information for such specific value of γ.
- $\gamma > 0$ is of special importance in this study since the model perfectly indicates big bang singularity in the past and finally there is an accelerating phase for $\gamma < 2/3$. So, this model can trace back the early phase of the universe as well as stands for the late time accelerated phase.
- The case $\gamma < 0$ is also interesting because the model in this case does not encounter any finite time singaularity in the past. That means, an existence of nonsingular universe is supported as observed in other cosmological theories [20–27]. Additionally, the late accelerating universe is also realized, but finally at some finite future time the scale factor diverges (Big rip singularity). Therefore, the model starts from a nonsigular phase, exhibits an accelerating universe and finally hints for the divergence in the scale factor at finite time in future.

Further, we show that the violation of the energy conditions can lead to the cosmic bounce. We also further established the energy conservation relation which show that the model is in well agreement with the first law of thermodynamics.

Thus, in summary considering the background spacetime of our universe as Finsler geometry instead of Riemannian geometry it is observed that a simple barotropic like fluid can offer some interesting cosmological solutions which accomodate the past and present scenarios of the universe evolution. Thus, as a begining, the current study may be considered as a viable one for the next complicated models in Finsler cosmology.

Acknowledgments

FR would like to thank the authorities of the Inter-University Centre for Astronomy and Astrophysics, Pune, India for providing research facilities. FR is also grateful to DST-SERB and DST-PURSE, Govt. of India for financial support.

Appendix A: Basics of Finslerian geometry

We construct a cosmological model by inserting $\bar{F}^2$ in the following form

$$\bar{F}^2 = y^\theta y^\theta + f(\theta, \phi) y^\phi y^\phi. \tag{A1}$$

Here,

$$\bar{g}_{ij} = \text{diag}(1, f(\theta, \phi)), \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{g}^{ij} = \text{diag}(1, \frac{1}{f(\theta, \phi)}); \quad [i, j = \theta, \phi]$$

The geodesic equations in Finsler space-time are

$$\frac{d^2x^\mu}{d\tau^2} + 2G^\mu = 0,$$

where $G^\mu = \frac{1}{4}g^{\mu\nu}\left(\frac{\partial^2 F^2}{\partial x^\lambda \partial y^\nu}y^\lambda - \frac{\partial F^2}{\partial x^\nu}\right)$ are the geodesic spray coefficients which calculated from $\bar{F}^2$ as

$$G^\theta = -\frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta}y^\phi y^\phi$$

$$G^\phi = \frac{1}{4f}\left(2\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta}y^\phi y^\theta + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi}y^\phi y^\phi\right)$$

Hence, one can find

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{F}^2\bar{R}\text{ic} = y^\phi y^\phi\Big[&-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial\theta^2} + \frac{1}{2f}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial\phi^2} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}\left(\frac{1}{f}\frac{\partial f}{\partial\phi}\right) - \frac{1}{4f}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial\theta}\right)^2\Big]\\
-y^\phi y^\phi\Big[&\frac{1}{2f^2}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial\phi}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{4f}\frac{\partial f}{\partial\phi}\frac{1}{f}\frac{\partial f}{\partial\phi} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial\theta}\frac{1}{2f}\frac{\partial f}{\partial\theta} - \frac{1}{4f^2}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial\phi}\right)^2\Big]\\
+y^\theta y^\theta&\left[-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\left(\frac{1}{f}\frac{\partial f}{\partial\theta}\right) - \frac{1}{4f^2}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial\theta}\right)^2\right]\\
+y^\phi y^\theta&\left[\frac{1}{f}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial\theta\partial\phi} - \frac{1}{f^2}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial\theta}\right)\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial\phi}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\left(\frac{1}{f}\frac{\partial f}{\partial\phi}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}\left(\frac{1}{f}\frac{\partial f}{\partial\theta}\right)\right]
\end{aligned} \tag{A2}$$

The coefficient of $y^\phi y^\theta$ is zero iff, f is independent of ϕ i.e.

$$f(\theta,\phi) = f(\theta) \tag{A3}$$

Note that other coefficients of $y^\theta y^\theta$ & $y^\phi y^\phi$ are non zero.

For the above consideration, Eq.(A2) yields

$$\bar{F}^2\bar{R}\text{ic} = \left[-\frac{1}{2f}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial\theta^2} + \frac{1}{4f^2}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial\theta}\right)^2\right](y^\theta y^\theta + f y^\phi y^\phi)$$

This yields $\bar{R}$ic as

$$\bar{R}\text{ic} = -\frac{1}{2f}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial\theta^2} + \frac{1}{4f^2}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial\theta}\right)^2. \tag{A4}$$

It is obvious that $\bar{R}$ic may be a constant, say λ, or a function of θ.

For $\bar{R}\text{ic} = \lambda$, one can find Finsler structure $\bar{F}^2$ as

$$\bar{F}^2 = \begin{cases} y^\theta y^\theta + A\sin^2(\sqrt{\lambda}\theta)y^\phi y^\phi, & \text{if } \lambda > 0\\ y^\theta y^\theta + A\theta^2 y^\phi y^\phi, & \text{if } \lambda = 0,\\ y^\theta y^\theta + A\sinh^2(\sqrt{-\lambda}\theta)y^\phi y^\phi, & \text{if } \lambda < 0. \end{cases}$$

We note that one can take A as unity without any loss of generality. Thus, we get the following form of the Finsler structure (7) as

$$\begin{aligned}
F^2 &= y^t y^t - R^2(t)y^r y^r - r^2R^2(t)y^\theta y^\theta - r^2R^2(t)\sin^2\theta y^\phi y^\phi + r^2R^2(t)\sin^2\theta y^\phi y^\phi - r^2R^2(t)\sin^2(\sqrt{\lambda}\theta)y^\phi y^\phi,\\
&= \alpha^2 + r^2R^2(t)(\sin^2\theta - \sin^2(\sqrt{\lambda}\theta))y^\phi y^\phi,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$F^2 = \alpha^2 + r^2 R^2(t)\chi(\theta) y^\phi y^\phi \tag{A5}$$

where $\chi(\theta) = \sin^2\theta - \sin^2(\sqrt{\lambda}\theta)$, and α is a Riemannian metric. Therefore, choosing $b_\phi = rR(t)\sqrt{\chi(\theta)}$, we get

$$F = \alpha\phi(s)\ ,\ \phi(s) = \sqrt{1+s^2} \tag{A6}$$

where $s = \frac{(b_\phi y^\phi)}{\alpha} = \frac{\beta}{\alpha}$, and $b_\mu = (0,0,0,b_\phi), b_\phi y^\phi = b_\mu y^\mu = \beta$, ($\beta$ is one form). This readily shows that F is the metric of (α,β)-Finsler space. One can write the killing equation $K_V(F) = 0$ in Finsler space by using isometric transformations of Finsler structure as [12]

$$\left(\phi(s) - s\frac{\partial\phi(s)}{\partial s}\right) K_V(\alpha) + \frac{\partial\phi(s)}{\partial s} K_V\beta) = 0, \tag{A7}$$

where

$$K_V(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2\alpha}\left(V_{\mu|\nu} + V_{\nu|\mu}\right) y^\mu y^\nu;$$

$$K_V(\beta) = \left(V^\mu \frac{\partial b_\nu}{\partial x^\mu} + b_\mu \frac{\partial V^\mu}{\partial x^\nu}\right) y^\nu.$$

The symbol "|" means the covariant derivative with respect to the Riemannian metric α. Now, we have

$$K_V(\alpha) + sK_V(\beta) = 0, \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha K_V(\alpha) + \beta K_V(\beta) = 0,$$

which gives

$$K_V(\alpha) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad K_V(\beta) = 0 \tag{A8}$$

or

$$V_{\mu|\nu} + V_{\nu|\mu} = 0 \tag{A9}$$

and

$$V^\mu \frac{\partial b_\nu}{\partial x^\mu} + b_\mu \frac{\partial V^\mu}{\partial x^\nu} = 0. \tag{A10}$$

Here, the second Killing equation constrains the first one (Killing equation of the Riemannian space). This takes responsibility for breaking the symmetry (isometric) of the Riemannian space.

Appendix B: Gravitational field equations

The geodesic spray coefficients for the Finsler structure (7) are given by

$$G^t = \frac{1}{2} R\dot{R}\Big[r^2\bar{F}^2 + y^r y^r\Big] \tag{B1}$$

$$G^r = \left(\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\right) y^r y^t - \frac{r}{2}\bar{F}^2 \tag{B2}$$

$$G^\theta = \bar{G}^\theta + y^\theta\left[\frac{y^r}{r} + \frac{\dot{R}}{R}\, y^t\right] \tag{B3}$$

$$G^{\phi} = \bar{G}^{\phi} + y^{\phi}\left[\frac{y^r}{r} + \frac{\dot{R}}{R}\,y^t\right] \tag{B4}$$

The Ricci scalar

$$\mathrm{Ric} \equiv R^{\mu}_{\mu} = \frac{1}{F^2}\left[2\frac{\partial G^{\mu}}{\partial x^{\mu}} - y^{\lambda}\frac{\partial^2 G^{\mu}}{\partial x^{\lambda} y^{\mu}} + 2\frac{G^{\lambda}\partial^2 G^{\mu}}{\partial y^{\lambda} y^{\mu}} - \frac{\partial G^{\mu}}{\partial y^{\lambda}}\frac{\partial G^{\lambda}}{\partial y^{\mu}}\right] \tag{B5}$$

can be computed as

$$F^2 R\mathrm{ic} = \bar{F}^2\left[\bar{R}\mathrm{ic} - 1 + r^2\left(2\dot{R}^2 + R\ddot{R}\right)\right] + y^r y^r\left(2\dot{R}^2 + R\ddot{R}\right) - 3y^t y^t\left(\frac{\dot{R}^2}{R^2} + \frac{\ddot{R}}{R} - \dot{R}^2\right) \tag{B6}$$

For Ricci tensor $R\mathrm{ic}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial^2(\frac{1}{2}F^2 Ric)}{\partial y^{\lambda} y^{\mu}}$, one can write the scalar curvature in Finsler geometry as $S = g^{\mu\nu} Ric_{\mu\nu}$, and explicitly in the following way

$$S = -3\left[\frac{\ddot{R}}{R} + \frac{\dot{R}^2}{R^2}\right] - \frac{2(\lambda - 1)}{r^2 R^2} \tag{B7}$$

An immediate observation shows that if we set $\lambda = 1$, then we find that S is half of the Ricci scalar curvature in FRW universe. The modified Einstein field equations in Finsler space-time ($G_{\mu\nu} \equiv R\mathrm{ic}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}S = 8\pi_F G T_{\mu\nu}$) yield

$$G^t_t = 3\left(\frac{\dot{R}^2}{R^2}\right) + \frac{\lambda - 1}{r^2 R^2} = 8\pi_F G T^t_t \tag{B8}$$

$$G^r_r = 2\,\frac{\ddot{R}}{R} + \frac{\dot{R}^2}{R^2} + \frac{\lambda - 1}{r^2 R^2} = -8\pi_F G T^r_r \tag{B9}$$

$$G^{\theta}_{\theta} = G^{\phi}_{\phi} = 2\,\frac{\ddot{R}}{R} + \frac{\dot{R}^2}{R^2} = -8\pi_F G T^{\theta}_{\theta} = -8\pi_F G T^{\phi}_{\phi} \tag{B10}$$

As in Akbar-Zadeh [17], with the modified Einstein tensor ($G_{\mu\nu} = Ric_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}S$),

$$\left(G_{\mu\nu} - 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}\right)|_M = 0 \tag{B11}$$

where $'|'_M$ represents this gravitational field equation restriced to the base spase manifold M of the Finslerian length element F. Here fibre coordinates are supposed to be velocities of the cosmic components. Regarding the validity of gravitational field equations [12] it has been argued that these equations can be derivable from the gravitational dynamics for Finsler spacetime based on an action integral approch on the unit tangent bundle [18]. Of course, this derivation of the equation (B5) from the gravitational field equation in Finsler spacetime as given by Pfeifer et. al is only approximate, where the curvature tensor not belonging to the base space of the tangent bundle has been neglected. But the strong point is that the equation (B5) is constructed by the geometrical invariant (Ricci tensor) which is insensitive to the connections. The equations for the present case are equations (B2), (B3) and (B4). These equations are the same as those which can be derived from the equivalent Riemannian spacetime with the with the metric tensor (7). Thus we see that although the equation (B5) is "approximately" valid as it is restricted to the base space of the Finslerian length element F, this equation seems to be exact for the present case of FLRW spacetime with Finslerian perturbation $\beta = b_{\mu}b^{\mu}$.

[1] S. S. Chern, Z. Shen, "Riemann-Finsler Geometry", World Scientific, Singapore (2004); S. S. Chern, "Finsler Geometry Is Just Riemannian Geometry without the Quadratic Restriction", Not. Amer. Math. Soc. **43**, 959963 (1996).

[2] D. Bao, S. S. Chern and Z. Shen, An Introduction to Riemann-Finsler Geometry, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 200, Springer, New York, 2000.
[3] P. C. Stavrinos, Remarks on the Applications of Finsler Geometry to Space-time, Conf. Proceed. MSRI Berkeley, USA June 2002 pp.30, http://www.msri.org/publications.
[4] S. Vacaru, P. C. Stavrinos, E. Gaburov and D. Gonta, Clifford and Riemann-Finsler Structures in Geometric Mechanics and Gravity, Geometry Balcan Press (2005), arxiv:gr-qc/0508023.
[5] P. C. Stavrinos, A. P. Kouretsis and M. Stathakopoulos, Gen. Rel. Grav. **40**, 1403 (2008), gr-qc/0612157.
[6] Z. Chang and X. Li, Phys. Lett. B. **668**, 453 (2008), arXiv:0806.2184.
[7] Z. Chang and X. Li, Phys. Lett. B. **676**, 173 (2009), arXiv:0901.1023.
[8] S. Vacaru, Int. J. Geom. Methods. Mod. Phys. **5**, 473 (2008), arXiv: 0801.4958.
[9] A. P. Kouretsis, M. Stathakopoulos and P. C. Stavrinos, Phys. Rev. D. **79**, 104011 (2009), arXiv: 0810.3267v3.
[10] S. Basilakos, A. P. Kouretsis, E. N. Saridakis and P. Stavrinos, Phys. Rev. D. **88**, 123510 (2013).
[11] S. Basilakos and P. Stavrinos, Phys. Rev. D. **87**, 4 (2013).
[12] X. Li and Z. Chang, Phys. Rev. D. **90**, 064049 (2014), arXiv:1401.6363.
[13] X. Li, S. Wang and Z. Chang, Comm. Theo. Phys. **61**, 781 (2014), arXiv:1309.1758.
[14] F. Rahaman, N. Paul, S. S. De, S. Ray and Md. A. K. Jafry, Eur. Phys. J. C **75**, 564 (2015), arXiv:1506.02501[gr-qc].
[15] F. Rahaman, N. Paul, A. Banerjee, S. S. De, S. Ray and A. A. Usmani, Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, 246 (2016), arXiv:1607.04329 [gr-qc].
[16] G. F. B Riemann, Uber die Hypothesen welche der Geometrie zu Grunde liegen, Habilitation thesisd, Yniversity of Gottingen (1854).
[17] H. Akbar-Zadeh, Acad. Roy. Belg. Bull. Cl. Sci. **74**, 281 (1988).
[18] C. Pfeifer and M. N. R. Wohlfarth, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 064009 (2012).
[19] S. Carloni, P. K. S. Dunsby and D. M. Solomons, Class. Quant. Grav. **23**, 1913 (2006), arXiv:gr-qc/0510130.
[20] R. Brandenberger and C. Vafa, Nucl. Phys. B. **316**, 391 (1988).
[21] G. F. R. Ellis and R. Maartens, Class. Quant. Grav. **21**, 223 (2004), arXiv:gr-qc/0211082.
[22] G. F. R. Ellis, J. Murugan and C. G. Tsagas, Class. Quant. Grav. **21**, 233 (2004), arXiv:gr-qc/0307112.
[23] D. J. Mulryne, R. Tavakol, J. E. Lidsey and G. F. R. Ellis, Phys. Rev. D. **71**, 123512 (2005), arXiv:astro-ph/0502589.
[24] N. J. Nunes, Phys. Rev. D. **72**, 103510 (2005), arXiv:astro-ph/0507683.
[25] J. E. Lidsey and D.J. Mulryne, Phys. Rev. D. **73**, 083508 (2006), arXiv:hep-th/0601203.
[26] A. Banerjee, T. Bandyopadhyay and S. Chakraborty, Grav. Cosmol. **13**, 290 (2007), arXiv:0705.3933 [gr-qc].
[27] A. Banerjee, T. Bandyopadhyay and S. Chakraborty, Gen. Relt. Grav. **40**, 1603 (2008), arXiv:0711.4188 [gr-qc].